

HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/849,530	05/07/2001	Vincent J. McGahay	FI9-98-172US2	1010
32074	7590 12/16/2003		EXAM	INER
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			MALDONADO, JULIO J	
DEPT. 18G				
BLDG. 300-482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2070 ROUT	E 52		2823	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/849,530	MCGAHAY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	DLVIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	NTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will, by stated that the set of extended period for reply will be set of extended period for extended period for reply will be set of extended period for reply w	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	September 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>15-30</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>15-18,20,21 and 26-30</u> is/are reject	Claim(s) <u>15-18,20,21 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>19 and 22-25</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the drawing(	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	ents have been received. ents have been received in A	pplication No			
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesince a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	list of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. a first sentence of the specific	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet.			
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has b	een received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. of the specification or in an Ap	99 120 and/or 121 since a specific oplication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· —	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

D + CD - N - 0000400F

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 15, 16 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (U.S. 6,143,657).

Liu et al. (Figs.1A-1F) teach a method of forming an adhesive layer on a copper interconnect including providing a germanium-containing layer (22) comprising copper germanide on at least one surface of a copper member (12), by providing germane over the interconnect structure; and providing a layer of a material (20) that does not adhere to copper on the germanium-containing layer (22) (column 2, line 6 – column 4, line 19).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17, 18, 20, 21 and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. ('657).

Art Unit: 2823

In reference to claim 17 Liu et al. teach wherein the germane is at least a temperature of about 200 to about 400°C but fail to teach the germane is flowed at a temperature of about 200 to about 450°C. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Liu et al. because the range of Liu et al. overlap the range of the claimed invention. See MPEP 2144.05 ("In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside the ranges disclosed by the prior art" a *prima facie* case of obviousness exits").

In reference to claim 18, Liu et al. teach providing a gaseous composition containing germane and helium, but fail to teach the composition containing about 0.05 to about 5% of said mixture. However, the selection of the claimed range is obvious because it is a matter of determining optimum process condition by routine experimentation with a limited number of species. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enable the above-mentioned composition range to arrive at the claimed invention.

In reference to claim 20 and 21 and 27, the teachings of Liu et al. substantially teach all aspects of the invention but fail to show the thickness of the germanium-containing layer is about 100 to about 1,000Å thick; and the thickness of the copper member is about 1,000 to about 20,000Å thick. Notwithstanding, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it

Art Unit: 2823

appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

5. Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. ('657) in view of the Applicants' Admitted Prior Art.

Liu et al. substantially teach all aspects of the invention but fail to show that the material that does not adhere to copper is either silicon nitride or silicon oxide, wherein the thickness of the silicon nitride layer is about 100 to about 20,000 Å thick. However, the prior art teaches forming a material that does not adhere to a copper member, wherein the material is either silicon nitride or silicon oxide (page 1, lines 14 – 23, and page 2, lines 11 – 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of the prior art and Liu et al. to enable to use silicon nitride and silicon oxide as material layers. The combine teachings of the Liu et al. and the prior art fail to teach wherein the thickness of the silicon nitride is about 100 to 20,000Å thick. Notwithstanding, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose

Art Unit: 2823

these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 19, 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Liu et al. (Figs.1A-1F) teach a method of forming an adhesive layer on a copper interconnect including providing a germanium-containing layer (22) comprising copper germanide on at least one surface of a copper member (12), by providing germane over the interconnect structure; and providing a layer of a material (20) that does not adhere to copper on the germanium-containing layer (22) (column 2, line 6 – column 4, line 19).

However, Liu et al. neither teach nor suggest wherein the germanium-containing layer is provided by providing a layer of copper germanide on the copper and then

Application/Control Number: 09/849,530 Page 6

Art Unit: 2823

oxidizing all or a portion of the copper germanide to provide a layer of germanium oxide; wherein the germanium-containing layer is provided by providing a layer of copper germanide on the copper and then nitridizing all or a portion of the copper germanide to provide a layer of germanium nitride; and wherein the germanium-containing layer is provided by providing a layer of copper germanide on the copper and then oxidizing all or a portion of the copper germanide to provide a layer of germanium oxide, and then nitridizing a portion of the copper oxide to provide a layer of germanium nitride.

### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 09/22/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. The declaration filed on 09/22/0003 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Liu et al. reference because the declaration is not signed.
- 9. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the Liu et al. reference. The submitted evidence teaches forming an adhesion layer between a silicon nitride layer and a copper layer to cure adhesion deficiencies between these two layers. However, independent claim 15 is open to form an adhesion layer between a copper and layer other than silicon nitride, such as tungsten or tantalum.

### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2823

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2823 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2823 via the Art Unit 2823 Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 3C23. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center number is (703) 305-3432. The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2823 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Julio J. Maldonado** at **(703) 306-0098** and between the hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by email via <u>julio.maldonado@uspto.gov</u>. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Group 2800 Receptionist** at **(703) 308-0956**.

Art Unit: 2823

JMR 12/6/03

Page 8

George Fourson
Primary Examiner